Phytoplankton Diversity in the Caspian Sea: A Comprehensive Checklist

Nafise Amini¹ , Ali Nasrolahi1[* ,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1455-9839) Behrooz Abtahi1

Received: 2024-05-29 Accepted: 2024-07-23

Abstract

The Caspian Sea, the world's largest inland body of water, supports a highly diverse community of phytoplankton species that play a crucial role in its ecosystem and overall food web dynamics. This unique, enclosed environment, which spans several countries, is subject to various ecological pressures, including climate change and anthropogenic activities, making it a critical area for biodiversity research. In this study, a comprehensive checklist of these species has been compiled based on an extensive literature review, revealing a total of 970 species and subspecies from 242 genera across 7 phyla. Bacillariophyceae was identified as the dominant class, comprising 347 species (36.37%), followed by Chlorophyta with 289 species (30.29%) and Cyanobacteria with 190 species (19.92%). Other notable groups include Myzozoa with 72 species (7.55%), Ochrophyta with 56 species (5.87%), Cryptophyta with 13 species (1.36%), and Haptophyta with 3 species (0.31%). This detailed inventory provides essential data on the phytoplankton biodiversity in the Caspian Sea, contributing to a deeper understanding of its ecological complexity. The checklist not only highlights the diversity within each phylum but also emphasizes the dominance of diatoms and chlorophytes, which are key to the sea's primary productivity. These findings serve as a critical resource for future ecological and environmental assessments, offering a baseline for monitoring biodiversity changes, potential threats to the ecosystem, and the impacts of climate change and human activities. The rich phytoplankton diversity outlined in this study shows the ecological significance of the Caspian Sea and its importance for regional and global biodiversity conservation efforts.

Keywords: Phytoplankton, Checklist, Biodiversity, Data bank, Caspian Sea

Introduction

The Caspian Sea is the world's largest landlocked water body located deep inside the Eurasian continent (Leroy et al., 2020). Due to its unique physicochemical and biological characteristics, the Caspian Sea is home to a relatively rich diversity of species, including numerous endemic organisms uniquely adapted to its environment, making it a region of significant ecological importance (Clewing et al., 2024). The dynamics of ecosystems mainly depends on the diversity of plankton especially phytoplankton (Otero et al., 2020). Marine phytoplankton are essential for the health of ocean ecosystems and the planet (Sarwat and Singh, 2023).

^{*}Corresponding Author email address: a_nasrolahi@sbu.ac.ir DOI: [10.48308/JPR.2024.236835.1087](https://plagen.sbu.ac.ir/article_104992.html)

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

¹⁻Department of Animal Sciences and Marine Biology, Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti **University**

As primary producers, they form the base of the marine food web, supporting a wide range of marine life, from small fish to large whales (Ly, 2023). Phytoplankton biomass in the world's oceans amounts to only 1-2% of the total global plant carbon, yet these organisms fix between 30 and 50 billion metric tons of carbon annually (about 40% of the total). Higher nutrient fluxes would lead to both an increase in phytoplankton biomass and higher biomass-specific rates of carbon fixation (Falkowski, 1994). However, ecological pressures in the Caspian Sea, such as pollution from agricultural runoff, climate change, invasive species, and oil extraction have significantly impacted phytoplankton diversity (Tahami et al., 2012; Bagheri and Fallahi, 2014; Nasrollahzadeh Saravi et al., 2014; Jenny et al., 2020). Nutrient pollution from runoff leads to eutrophication (Mozafari et al., 2023), fostering harmful algal blooms that disrupt the natural balance of species. Climate change, by altering water temperature and salinity, has caused shifts in species composition and distribution. Invasive species like *Mnemiopsis leidyi* have indirectly affected phytoplankton by reducing zooplankton populations, while oil extraction introduces hydrocarbons that favor the dominance of more resistant, less diverse phytoplankton species (Tas et al., 2010). These combined pressures reduce overall biodiversity and threaten the stability of the Caspian Sea ecosystem (Nasrollahzadeh, 2010; Mamedov et al., 2016).

Throughout the entire Caspian Sea, 450 species, varieties or forms of phytoplankton exist. Of these, the dominant forms numerically are Cyanophyta, Bacillariophyta, and Chlorophyta. Middle and South Caspian phytoplankton are mixed marine, brackish, fresh-brackish water, and freshwater forms. By contrast, North Caspian phytoplankton are represented by freshwater forms (Mamaev, 2002). The first studies by CEP (1998); Aubrey et al. (1994); Dumont (1998); and Kasymov (1994) identified 450 species and subspecies of phytoplankton in the Caspian Sea. Other studies have reported phytoplankton species in local scales (e.g., Nasrollahzadeh Saravi et al., 2014; Mahmoudi et al., 2016; Rowshan Tabari et al., 2022; Sadat-Tahami and Keyhan Sani, 2022). Therefore, there is a critical need to prepare an updated checklist of phytoplankton in the Caspian Sea.

Such checklist provides a comprehensive baseline for the phytoplankton biodiversity of the region, which is essential for monitoring changes over time due to environmental fluctuations (Batten et al., 2019), pollution (Franc´e et al., 2021), and climate change (Batten et al., 2019). Additionally, understanding the composition and species richness of phytoplankton can offer insights into the ecological dynamics and trophic interactions within the Caspian Sea. Furthermore, this checklist serves as a valuable resource for researchers and policymakers in making informed decisions about conservation strategies, environmental management, and sustainable use of marine resources in the Caspian Sea. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to prepare a comprehensive checklist of these primary producers in the Caspian Sea.

Material and methods

Study area

This study was performed on the collection of papers dealing with phytoplankton species diversity in the Caspian Sea (Fig. 1). The Caspian Sea exhibits high species richness of phytoplankton that is driven by diverse habitat zones, fluctuating salinity levels, and nutrient inputs from rivers creating dynamic conditions that promote the growth of different phytoplankton species adapted to varying environments.

Checklist data

The checklist of phytoplankton species was compiled using a comprehensive review of existing scientific literature and databases from 1994 to 2023. This approach involved analyzing previously published studies, taxonomic keys, reports, and online databases to gather relieable data on species richness. Non peer-reviewed papers and documents were excluded in the study. Validation of identified species was conducted using international standard data repositories such as WORMS and GBIF. Classification of all groups followed standards outlined on the WORMS website.

Results

During the current study, 970 species and subspecies representing 246 genera were recorded. The identified phytoplankton species were classified into 16 classes, 68 orders, 126 families under 7 phyla: Bacillariophyta (diatoms), Chlorophyta (green algae), Cyanobacteria (bluegreen algae), Myzozoa (Dinophyceae), Ochrophyta, Cryptophyta, and Haptophyta (Table 1, Figures 2 and 3). Detailed information about the taxonomy of major groups is provided below.

Bacillariophyta (diatoms)

In the present study, Bacillariophyta was the most dominant group, comprising 35.81% of the total phytoplankton. The identified diatoms were classified into one class (Bacillariophyceae), 23 orders, and 36 families. The dominant orders included Naviculales, which comprised 23.05% of the total phytoplankton species with 80 species, followed by Bacillariales at 13.83% with 48 species, Thalassiosirales at 12.10% with 42 species, Cymbellales at 8.07% with 28 species, and Chaetocerotanae *incertae sedis* at 7.49% with 26 species, along with Fragilariales at 6.34% and 22 species.

Among the families, Bacillariaceae was the most prominent, comprising 13.83% of the total phytoplankton species with 48 species, followed closely by Naviculaceae at 13.54% with 47 species, Chaetocerotaceae at 7.49% with 26 species, Stephanodiscaceae at 6.63% with 23 species, and Fragilariaceae at 6.34% with 22 species. A total of 347 species were recorded, representing 58 genera within the Bacillariophyceae (Table 2). The most abundant genus was *Navicula*, comprising 12.97% (45 species), succdeded by *Nitzschia* at 11.53% with 40 species, and *Chaetoceros* at 7.45% with 26 species (Table 2).

Chlorophyta (green algae)

Chlorophyta (green algae) was the second most dominant group, comprising 30.29% of the total phytoplankton. Identified greenalgae were classified into five classes: Chlorophyceae, which comprised 57.09% (165 species); Trebouxiophyceae with 22.84% (66 species); Ulvophyceae with

 $55E$

Fig. 1. A map showing the study area (Photo: K. Kabiri)

 50^7E

Irs

Phytoplankton Phyla

Fig. 3. Number of species of phytoplankton in each phylum in the Caspian Sea

18.34% (53 species); Chlorodendrophyceae with 1.38% (4 species); and Nephroselmidophyceae with 0.35% (1 species). These classes were divided into 16 orders and 37 families. The dominant orders included Sphaeropleales, which comprised 43.25% of the total phytoplankton species with 125 species; Chlorellales with 19.38% and 56 species; Chlamydomonadales with 10.73% and 31 species; and Ulvales with 6.57% and 19 species. The predominant families identified were Scenedesmaceae, which accounted for 17.65% of the total phytoplankton species with 51 species; Oocystaceae, representing 13.15% with38 species; Selenastraceae contributing10.38% with 30 species; and Chlamydomonadaceae, which included 8.65% with 25 species. A total of 289 species were recorded, encompassingto represent 89 genera within Chlorophyta division. The leading genus was *Scenedesmus*, which made up 11.38% (33 species), followed by *Chlamydomonas*, comprising 6.90 % with 20 species (Table 3).

Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae)

The blue-green algae represented the third most dominant group comprising 19.92% of the overal phytoplankton species. Identified blue-green algae were divided into 1 class (Cyanophyceae comprises), 10 orders and 22 families. The dominant orders included Oscillatoriales, which comprised 33.16% of the total phytoplankton species with 63 species; Nostocales with 25.26% and 48 species; Chroococcales with 20.53% and 39 species.

The predominant family was Oscillatoriaceae, accounting for17.89% of the total phytoplankton species, which included with 34 species. This was followed by Microcoleaceae and Aphanizomenonaceae, each representing 15.26%with 29 speciesand Microcystaceae, which comprised 10.53% with20 species. The leadinggenus was *Anabaena*, contributing 11.05% with 21 species, followed by *Oscillatoria* at 10% with 19 species), and *Phormidium* at 7.89% with 15 species (Table 4).

Myzozoa (dinoflagellates)

In the present study, Myzozoa represented another group that comprises 7.55% (72 species) of the overal phytoplankton composition. The identified dinoflagellate was classified into one class (Dinophyceae), four orders, and eleven families. The predominant orders included Peridiniales, which constituted 62.50% of the total phytoplankton species with 45 species; Prorocentrales, comprising18.06% with 13 species; Gonyaulacales representing 13.89% with 10 species; and Gymnodiniales representing5.56% with four species. The leading family wasPeridiniaceae, accounting for 37.50% of the total phytoplankton species with 27 specie, followed by Prorocentraceae, which comprised 18.06% with13 species.

The most prevalent genus was *Peridinium*, making up 16.67% (12 species) succeded by *Peridiniopsis* at 12.50% with 9 species (Table 5).

Ochrophyta

Ochrophyta constituted5.87% of the overallphytoplankton species, totalling56 species. The identified Ochrophyta classified into sixclasses; Chrysophyceae and Phaeophyceae representing 32.14% with

 $\overline{1}$ l. ÷. ÷, . $\overline{}$ Ļ $\overline{}$ $\ddot{}$ \ddot{r}

Pseudonitzschia seriata H. Peragallo & M. Peragallo, 1900 Nitzschia homburgiensis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia hantzschiana A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia vermicularis A.H. Hassall. 1845 Nitzschia inconspicua A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia tenuirostris A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia tenuirostris A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia tryblionella A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia sublinearis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia intermedia A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia longissima A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia lorenziana A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia hungarica A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia lanceolata A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia sigmoidea A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia constricta A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia holsatica A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia dissipata A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia paleacea A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzchia thermalis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia linearis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia gracilis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia reversa A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia subtilis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Tryblionella gracilis W. Smith, 1853 Vitzschia debilis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia distans A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pseudonitzschia sp. Ehrenberg, 1831 Nitzschia pusilla A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia seriata A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia palea A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia tenuis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia vitrea A.H. Hassall, 1845 Nitzschia sigma A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia recta A.H. Hassall, 1845 Vitzschia sp. A.H. Hassall, 1845

Navicula cryptocephala J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula molestiformis J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula molestiformis J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Vavicula pseudanglica J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula menisculus † J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula laterostrata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula platystoma J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula lanceolata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula elginensis J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula peregrine J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula crucigera J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula cuspidata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula dicephala J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula gracilis † J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula forcipata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula costulata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula bacillum J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula gastrum J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula gregaria J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula decussis J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula elliptica J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula bombus J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula capitate J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula kotschyi J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula anglica J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula gibbula J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula minima J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula exigua J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula cincta J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula fluens J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Caloneis amphisbaena P.T. Cleve, 1894 Diploneis stagnarum P.T. Cleve, 1894 Diploneis subovalis P.T. Cleve, 1894 Navicula placentula Kützing, 1844 Diploneis sp. D. G. Mann, 1990 Caloneis sp. Kützing, 1844

Naviculaceae

Navicula subrhombica J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula tripunctata J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula stroemii J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula radiosa J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula rostrate J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula simplex J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula viridula J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula pusilla J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula spicula J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula pupula J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula recens J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Navicula veneta J.B.M. Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1822 Pinnularia microsiauron C.G. Ehrenberg, 1843 Pinnularia interrupta C.G. Ehrenberg, 1843 Gyrosigma acuminatum A.H. Hassall, 1845 Gyrosigma scalproides A.H. Hassall, 1845 Gyrosigma baicalense A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurosigma delicatulum W. Smith, 1852 Gyrosigma attenuata A.H. Hassall, 1845 Navicula rhynchocephala Kützing, 1844 Gyrosigma kuetzingii A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurosigma angulatum W. Smith, 1852 Pinnularia nobilis C.G. Ehrenberg, 1843 Gyrosigma balticum A.H. Hassall, 1845 Gyrosigma spencerii A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurosigma elongatum W. Smith, 1852 Pinnularia gibba C.G. Ehrenberg, 1843 Gyrosigma peisonis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurosigma salinarum W. Smith, 1852 Gyrosigma fasciola A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurosigma sp. Mereschkowsky, 1903 Gyrosigma sp. Mereschkowsky, 1903 Gyrosigma strigilis A.H. Hassall, 1845 Pleurocapsa rivularis Thuret, 1885 Pinnularia sp. D.G. Mann, 1990 Navicula sp. Kützing, 1844

Pinnulariaceae

Pleurosigmataceae

Actinocyclus sp. Hendey, 1937 emend Simonsen, 1975 Hyalodiscus sphaerophorus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1845 Pseudosolenia calcar-avis B.G. Sundstrom, 1986 Coscinodiscus jonesianus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Coscinodiscus perforatus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Coscinodiscus proximus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Actinocyclus ehrenbergii C.G. Ehrenberg, 1837 Skeletonema cylindraceum R.K. Greville, 1865 Coscinodiscus radiatus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Actinocyclus paradoxus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1837 Rhizosolenia fragilissima T. Brightwell, 1858 Actinocyclus normanii C.G. Ehrenberg, 1837 Rhizosolenia calcar-avis T. Brightwell, 1858 Rhoicosphenia curvata Lange-Bertalot, 1980 Hyalodiscus parvulus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1845 Coscinodiscus granii C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Actinocyclus tenellus C.G. Ehrenberg, 1837 Coscinodiscus gigas C.G. Ehrenberg, 1839 Skeletonema costatum R.K. Greville, 1865 Rhizosolenia setigera T. Brightwell, 1858 Rhizosolenia eriensis T. Brightwell, 1858 Melosira moniliformis C.A. Agardh, 1824 Dactyliosolen fragilissimus Bergon, 1903 Tropidoneis lepidoptera P.T. Cleve, 1891 Proboscia alata Bo G. Sundström, 1986 Melosira juergensii C.A. Agardh, 1824 Melosira granulata C.A. Agardh, 1824 Rhizosolenia alata T. Brightwell, 1858 Melosira undulata C.A. Agardh, 1824 Melosira varians C.A. Agardh, 1824 Melosira italica C.A. Agardh, 1824 Coscinodiscus sp. Kützing, 1844 Skeletonema costata Cleve, 1873 Melosira sp. C.A. Agardh, 1824 Dactyliosolen sp. De Toni, 1890 Rhizosolenia sp. De Toni, 1890

Scenedesmus caudato-aculeolatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus costato-granulatus Meyen, 1829 Tetrastrum staurogeniaeforme Chodat, 1895 Tetrastrum staurogeniaeforme Chodat, 1895 Pseudotetrastrum punctatum Hindák, 1977 Scenedesmus incrassatulus Meyen, 1829 Tetrastrum heteracanthum Chodat, 1895 Scenedesmus denticulatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus brasiliensis Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus intermedius Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus acuminatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus acutiformis Meyen, 1829 Tetrastrum triacanthum Chodat, 1895 Scenedesmus bicaudatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus communis Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus abundans Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus apiculatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus gutwinskii Meyen, 1829 Coelastrum sphaericum Nägeli, 1849 Coelastrum sphaericum Nägeli, 1849 Scenedesmus bijugatus Meyen, 1829 Komarekia appendiculata Fott, 1981 Scenedesmus arcuatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus bernarlii Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus obliquus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus curvatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus armatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus falcatus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus insignis Meyen, 1829 Coelastrum pulchrum Nägeli, 1849 Scenedesmus obtusus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus acutus Meyen, 1829 Scenedesmus bijuga Meyen, 1829 Tetrastrum elegans Chodat, 1895 Tetrastrum sp. Oltmanns, 1904 Coelastrum sp.

Schroederiaceae

Selenastraceae

Oocystaceae

Ulvophyceae

Class	Order	Family	Species	
Cyanophyceae	Geitlerinematales	Geitlerinemataceae	Geitlerinema amphibiumAnagnostidis, 1989	
	Gomontiellales	Cyanothecaceae	Cyanothece aeruginosa Komárek, 1976	
	Leptolyngbyales	Leptolyngbyaceae	Heteroleibleinia kuetzingii L.Hoffmann, 1905	
			Leptolyngbya angustissima	
			Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Leptolyngbya fragilis Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Leptolyngbya lagerheimii Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Leptolyngbya perelegans Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Leptolyngbya valderiana Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Planktolyngbya contorta Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
			Planktolyngbya limnetica Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988	
		Trichocoleusaceae	Schizothrix lenormandiana Kützing ex Gomont, 1892	
			Trichocoleus tenerrimus Anagnostidis, 2001	
	Pseudanabaenales	Pseudanabaenaceae	Limnothrix planctonica Meffert, 1987	
			Limnothrix redekei Meffert, 1987	
			Pseudanabaena limnetica Lauterborn, 1915	
			Pseudanabaena mucicola Lauterborn, 1915	
	Nostocales	Aphanizomenonaceae	Anabaena abnormis Bory de Saint- Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena affinis Bory de Saint-	
			Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena aphanizomenoides Bory de	
			Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena attenuata Bory de Saint-	
			Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena bergii Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena circinalis Bory de Saint-	
			Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena constricta Bory de Saint-	
			Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	
			Anabaena contorta Bory de Saint-	
			Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886	

Table 4. Classification of the cyanpbacteria (blue-green algae) and their species in the Caspian Sea

Anabaena flos-aquae Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1887 Anabaena hassallii Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena kisseleaii Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena oscillarioides Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1887 Anabaena planctonica Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena reniformis Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena scheremetievi Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1887 Anabaena sigmoidea Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena sp. Elenkin, 1938 Anabaena sphaerica Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena spiroides Klebahn, 1895 Anabaena subcylindrica Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaena viguieri Bory de Saint-Vincent ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Aphanizomenon aphanizomenoides A.Morren ex Bornet & Flahault, 1888 Aphanizomenon flosaquae A.Morren ex Bornet & Flahault, 1888 Aphanizomenon issatschenkoi A. Morren ex Bornet & Flahault, 1888 Aphanizomenon sp. Elenkin, 1938 Aphanizomenon ussaczeviiP.Rajaniemi, J.Komárek, R.Willame, P.Hrouzek, K.Kastovská, L.Hoffmann & K.Sivonen, 2005 Cylindrospermum sp. Cylindrospermopsis sp. Elenkin, 1938 Gloeotrichia echinulata J.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault, 1886 Anabaenopsis arnoldii V.V.Miller, 1923 Anabaenopsis circularisWołoszyńska & V.V.Miller, 1923 Anabaenopsis cunningtonii V.V.Miller, 1923 Anabaenopsis elenkinii V.V.Miller, 1923 Anabaenopsis nadsonii V.V.Miller, 1923

Nodulariaceae

Lyngbya aestuarii C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya birgei C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya circumcretaC.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya confervoides C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya limnetica C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya majuscula C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya martensiana C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya semiplena C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Lyngbya sp. O.Strunecky, J.R.Johansen & J.Komárek, 2013 Lyngbya spiralis C.Agardh ex Gomont, 1892 Microcoleus chthonoplastes Desmazières ex Gomont, 1892 Microcoleus subtorulosus Desmazières ex Gomont, 1892 Microcystis aeruginosa Lemmermann, 1907 Microcystis pulverea Lemmermann, 1907 Merismopedia convoluta Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia elegans A.Braun ex Kützing, 1849 Merismopedia glauca Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia insignis Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia minima Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia punctata Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia sp. Elenkin, 1933 Merismopedia tenuissima Meyen, 1839 Merismopedia warmingiana Meyen, 1839 Planktothrix agardhii Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988 Porphyrosiphon luteus Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Trichodesmium lacustre Ehrenberg ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria agardhii Vaucher ex Oscillatoriaceae Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria anguina Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892

1409

Oscillatoria chalybea Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria caerulescens Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria corallinae Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria curviceps Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria geminata Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria limosa Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria lloydiana Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria margaritifera Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria princeps Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria putrida Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria rupicola Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria sancta Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria setigera Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria simplicissima Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria sp. Engler, 1898 Oscillatoria tangayikae Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Oscillatoria tenuis Vaucher ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium ambiguum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium amoenum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium beggiatoiforme Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium boryanum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium breve Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium chalybeum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium formosum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892 Phormidium irriguum Kützing ex Gomont, 1892

 $\overline{}$

18 species; Xanthophyceae accounting for 17.86% with10 species; Eustigmatophyceae comprising 10.71% with6 species; Raphidophyceae making up 5.36% with3 species); and Dictyochophyceae which included 1.79% with1 species). This group encompassed 11 orders and 15 families. The predominant orders wereEctocarpales, which represented 28.57% of the total phytoplankton species with 16 speciesو and Chromulinales accounting for 21.43% and 12 species.

The dominant family included Dinobryaceae and Chordariaceae which made up 16.07% of the total phytoplankton species with nine species; Goniochloridaceae comprising 10.71% with six species; and Ectocarpaceae, which accounted for 10.71% with six species. The most prevalent genus were *Dinobryon,* representing 14.29% with 8 species, followed by *Goniochloris* at 10.71% with 6 species, and *Ectocarpus* at 8.93% with 5 species (Table 6).

Cryptophyta

Cryptophyta comprised 1.34% of the total phytoplankton species, comprising 13 distinct species. The identified Ochrophyta species were categorized into one class (Cryptophyceae), 2 orders and 3 families. The orders included Cryptomonadales, which accounted for 84.62% with 11 species, and Pyrenomonadales accounted for 15.38% with 2 species. The families were classified as Cryptomonadaceae with 76.92% and 10 species, Pyrenomonadaceae with 15.38%; and 2 species, and Hemiselmidaceae with 7.69% and 1 species. A total of 13 species were documented, representing three genera within the Ochrophyta group (Table 7). The dominant genus was *Cryptomonas,* which comprised76.92% of the total with 10 species (Table 7).

Haptophyta

Haptophyta as the least dominant group comprised 0.31% with three species of the total phytoplankton. Ochrophyta was classified into one class (Coccolithophyceae), 1 order (Prymnesiales), one family (Chrysochromulinaceae), one genus (Chrysochromulina), and three species (Table 8).

Discussion and conclusion

The findings of this study are consistent with and build upon previous research on phytoplankton diversity in the Caspian Sea, reinforcing the critical role of Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) and Chlorophyta in this unique ecosystem. The identification of 970 species and subspecies across seven phyla highlights the Caspian Sea's status as a biodiversity hotspot and provides a more comprehensive overview compared to earlier studies.

Numerous studies have documented the dominance of diatoms in the Caspian Sea. For instance, Ganjian et al. (2010) reported that diatoms made up 43% of the total phytoplankton taxa, a finding that aligns closely with the 36.37% representation of Bacillariophyceae observed in this study. Similarly, Nasrollahzadeh Saravi et al. (2017, 2016, 2014) identified 81 species of Bacillariophyta out of a total of 195 species, further underscoring the importance of diatoms in this ecosystem.

Bagheri et al. (2012) and Bagheri and Fallah

Table 7. Classification of the Cryptophyta and its species in the Caspian Sea Class	Order	Family	Species
Cryptophyceae	Cryptomonadales	Cryptomonadaceae	Cryptomonas
			caudata
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			caudata
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			curvata
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			erosa
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			gracilis
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			marssonii
			Ehrenberg, 1831
			Cryptomonas
			obovata
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			ovata Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			reflexa
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
			Cryptomonas
			salina
			Ehrenberg,
			1831
		Hemiselmidaceae	Chroomonas
			acuta Hansgirg, 1885
		Pyrenomonadales Pyrenomonadaceae	Rhodomonas
			lacustris
			Rhodomonas
			lens Pascher &
			Ruttner, 1913
			Rhodomonas G.
			Karsten, 1898

Table 7. Classification of the Cryptophyta and its species in the Caspian Sea

Class	Order	Family	Species
Coccolithophyceae	Prymnesiales	Chrysochromulinaceae	Chromulina freiburgensis
			L.Cienkowsky, 1870
			Chrysochromulina sp.
			Edvardsen, Eikrem &
			Medlin, 2011
			Chrysochromulina vagans

Table 8. Classification of the Haptophyta and its species in the Caspian Sea

abundant group, with 25 and 70 species identified, respectively. These studies, along with those by Afraei Bandpey et al. (2015) and Mahmoudi et al. (2016), which also noted the dominance of diatoms, contribute to a growing body of evidence that these organisms are crucial to the Caspian Sea's primary production and ecological stability. The significant presence of Chlorophyta, comprising 30.29% of the species in this study, is also in line with earlier research. For example, Ganjian et al. (2010) identified Chlorophytes as the second most abundant group, and similar findings were reported by Nasrollahzadeh Saravi et al. (2017, 2016, 2014) and Heydari et al. (2018). The consistent identification of Chlorophyta as a major component of the phytoplankton community across multiple studies underscores its ecological significance in the Caspian Sea.

Cyanobacteria, accounting for 19.92% of the species in this study, have also been consistently reported as a key group in the Caspian Sea's phytoplankton community. Studies by Gasanova et al. (2015) and Pourgholam et al. (2013) noted the prevalence of Cyanobacteria alongside diatoms, further supporting their role in the region's nutrient cycling and primary production.

The shifts in phytoplankton community

structure over time, particularly the increase of ctenophore, *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, as reported by Ganjian et al. (2010), highlight the dynamic nature of the Caspian Sea ecosystem. The observation that dinoflagellates temporarily became more prevalent than diatoms emphasizes the importance of ongoing monitoring to track changes in species composition and to understand the potential impacts of environmental stressors, such as invasive species and climate change. While a comparative analysis with previous studies to identify significant changes or trends in phytoplankton diversity would be valuable, this approach is constrained by inconsistencies in species reporting across different studies. The comprehensive checklist compiled here includes all species mentioned in prior research, making it difficult to discern consistent patterns or temporal shifts.

This study enhances the existing body of knowledge by providing a more detailed and updated inventory of phytoplankton species, offering crucial insights into the biodiversity and ecological functioning of the Caspian Sea. The consistency of findings across multiple studies highlights the need for continued research and conservation efforts to preserve the ecological health of this

unique inland sea. Future research should focus on understanding the functional roles of these diverse phytoplankton species within the Caspian Sea's ecosystem, particularly in relation to nutrient cycling, food web dynamics, and the response to environmental stressors. Additionally, longterm monitoring programs are essential to detect shifts in species composition and abundance, which could indicate broader ecological changes within the Caspian Sea. This study sets a strong foundation for such efforts, emphasizing the importance of phytoplankton diversity as a key indicator of the health and sustainability of aquatic ecosystems.

In this study, we have compiled a comprehensive checklist of phytoplankton species in the Caspian Sea, documenting a total of 970 species and subspecies across 242 genera and seven phyla. Bacillariophyta emerged as the most dominant group, followed by Chlorophyta, reflecting similar patterns observed in previous studies. Our findings highlight the significant diversity and complexity of the phytoplankton community in this unique marine environment. This updated checklist provides an essential baseline for future ecological and environmental monitoring, contributing to our understanding of the Caspian Sea's biodiversity. It highlights the importance of ongoing research and conservation efforts to protect and sustain the health of this vital ecosystem. In addition, this checklist serves as a valuable resource for researchers and policymakers in making informed decisions about conservation strategies, environmental management and sustainable

use of marine resources in the Caspian Sea. Future research should focus on assessing the impacts of climate change and human activities on phytoplankton diversity in the Caspian Sea. Emphasis should be placed on changes in species composition, the likelihood of invasive species emergence, and the enduring ecological ramification for marine ecosystems.

Acknowledgments:

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Department of Environment of the Islamic Republic of Iran (grant number 1402/S/261) for this research.

References

- Aubrey DG. (1994). Conservation of biological diversity of the Caspian Sea and its coastal zone. A proposal to the Global Environment Facility. Report to GEF. 250p.
- Alizadeh Lahijani H., Naderi Beni A., Mehdipour N., Abasiyan H., Saleh A., Pourkerman M., Garivani H., Amjadi S., Hoseindost M., Habibi P., Ramezani I., Rahnama R., Hamzehpour A. (2014). Environmental monitoring and data processing of the Caspian Sea. Publisher: Iranian National Institute for Oceanography and Atmospheric Science, Marine Sciences Research Center / Ocean Engineering and Technology Research Center. Project No.: 390-012-01.
- [Bagheri](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/search.php?sid=1&slc_lang=en&author=Bagheri) S and [Makaremi](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/search.php?sid=1&slc_lang=en&author=Makaremi) M. (2018). [Variation](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/article-1-1116-en.pdf) [of phytoplankton composition and](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/article-1-1116-en.pdf) [nutrients near the fish cage-culture in the](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/article-1-1116-en.pdf) [southern Caspian Sea, Guilan Offshore](http://joc.inio.ac.ir/article-1-1116-en.pdf). Journal of Oceanography. 9(35): 1-10.

DOI: [10.29252/JOC.2018.9.1174.](http://dx.doi.org/10.29252/JOC.2018.9.1174)

- Bagheri S and Fallahi M, (2014). Checklist of phytoplankton taxa in the Iranian waters of the Caspian Sea. Caspian Journal Environmental Sciences. 12 (1): 81-97. URL: https://www.researchgate. net/ publication/ 259282572.
- Bagheri S,, Turkoglu M., Abedini A. (2014). Phytoplankton and nutrient variations in the Iranian waters of the Caspian Sea (Guilan region) during 2003–2004. Turkish Journal Fisherise Aquatic Science. 14: 231-245. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v14_1_25.
- Bagheri S., Mansor M., Turkoglu M., Makaremi M., Maznah WWO Negarestan H. (2012). Phytoplankton species composition and abundance in the southwestern Caspian Sea. Ekoloji. 21(83): 32-43. DOI: 10.5053/ ekoloji.2012.834.
- Batten SD., Abu-Alhaija R., Chiba S., Martin E., George G., Jyothibabu R., Kitchener JA., Philippe K., McQuatters-Gollop A., Muxagata E, Ostle C., Richardson AJ., Robinson KV., Kunio T., Verheye-Hans M and Willie W. (2019). A global plankton diversity-monitoring program. Frontiers in Marine Science. 6. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00321.
- CEP. (1998). National reports of the Caspian Sea countries (Azerbaijan, Iran, Kazakhstan, Russian Federation, Turkmenistan). Caspian Environment Programme. 20 p.
- Clewing C., Albrecht C, Anistratenko VV., Anistratenko OYu., Wilke T. (2024). To be alive or not to be alive: Radiocarbon data provide new perspective on species

diversity in the Caspian Sea. Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems. 34 (2): E4095. DOI: 10.1002/aqc.4095.

- Draredja MA, Frihi H., Boualleg C. (2019). Seasonal variations of phytoplankton community in relation to environmental factors in a protected meso-oligotrophic southern Mediterranean marine ecosystem (Mellah lagoon, Algeria) with an emphasis of HAB species. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 191, 603. DOI: 10.1007/ s10661-019-7708-5.
- Dumont HJ. (1998). The Caspian Lake: history, biota, structure, and function. Limnology and Oceanography. 43 (1): 44-52.
- Falkowski PG. (1994). The role of phytoplankton photosynthesis in global biogeochemical cycles. [Photosynthesis](https://link.springer.com/journal/11120) [Research.](https://link.springer.com/journal/11120) 39 (3): 235-58. DOI: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00014586) [BF00014586](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00014586).
- Franc´e J, Varkitzi L, Stanca E, Cozzoli F, Skeji'c S, Ungaro g N, Vascotto I, Mozeti`c P, Ninˇcevi´c Gladan Z., Assimakopoulou G., Pavlidou A., Zervoudaki S., Pagou K., Basset A. (2021). Large-scale testing of phytoplankton diversity indices for environmental assessment in Mediterranean sub-regions (Adriatic, Ionian and Aegean Seas). Ecological Indicators. [126:](https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/ecological-indicators/vol/126/suppl/C) 107630. [DOI: 10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107630) [ecolind.2021.107630](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107630).
- Ganjian Khanari A. (2019). Cheklist of Phytoplankton species in the southern part of Caspian Sea. Algae and Seaweeds Quarterly E-Journal. 2 (1).

Ganjian A., Wan Maznah WO., Yahya K.,

Fazli H., Vahedi M., Roohi A., Farabi SMV. (2010). Seasonal and regional distribution of phytoplankton in the southern part of the Caspian Sea. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences. 9 (3): 382- 401. URL: http://jifro.ir/article-1-55-en. html.

- Ganjian A., Wan Maznah WO., Khairun Y., Najafpour Sh., Najafpour GhD. Roohi A. (2009). The assessment of biological indices for classification of water quality in southern part of Caspian Sea. World Applied Sciences Journal. 7 (9): 1097-1104. ISSN: 1818-4952. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/283664209.
- Ganjian A. (2007). Distribution, abundance and biomass of phytoplankton in the southern part of Caspian Sea (In Iranian waters). Thesis, Master of Science. School of Biological Sciences University Sciences Malaysia. 169p. URL: https:// civilica.com/doc/1093565/.
- Ganjian A. and Makhlogh A. (2003). Distribution the dominant groups of phytoplankton (Chrysophyta and pyrrophyta) in the southern part of the Caspian Sea. Iranian Fisheries. 12 (1):103-116. DOI: 10.22092/ isfj.2003.113609
- Ganjian A., Hosseini SA., Keyhansani A., Khosravi M. (1998). Survey the distribution of phytoplankton in the southern Caspian Sea. Iranian Fisheries Scientific Journal. 7 (2): 95-107. DOI: [10.22092/isfj.1998.116328](https://doi.org/10.22092/isfj.1998.116328).
- Gasanova Ash., Kovaleva GV., Guseynov KM., Guseynov MK. (2015). Phytoplankton of Caspian. The south of

Russia: ecology, development. 10 (1). DOI: 10.18470/1992-1098-2015-1-166- 176.

- Ghasemov B. (1983). The biology of Caspian Sea translated by Fathola pour fisheries research center of Gilan. 184 p.
- Heydari N., Fatemi S.MR., Mashinchian A., Musavi Nadushan R and Raeisi B. (2018). Seasonal species diversity and abundance of phytoplankton from the southwestern Caspian Sea. International Aquatic Research. 10: 375–390. DOI: [10.1007/s40071-018-0213-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40071-018-0213-6)
- Jenny JP., Anneville O, Arnaud F., Baulaz Y., Bouffard D., Domaizon I., Bocaniov SA., Chèvre N., Dittrich M., Dorioz JM. Dunlop ES. (2020). Scientists Warning to Humanity: Rapid degradation of the world's large lakes. Journal of Great Lakes Research. 46: 686-702. DOI: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.05.006) [org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.05.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.05.006)
- Kasymov AG. (1994). Ecology of the Caspian Sea. Baku. 146p. (in Russian).
- Karpinsky MG., Katunun DN., Goryunova VB., Shiganova TA. (2006). Biological features and resources. The Caspian Sea Environmental. Pp. 191-210. DOI: [10.1007/698_5_010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/698_5_010).
- Leroy S., Lahijani H., Crétaux JF., Aladin N., Plotnikov I. (2020). Past and current changes in the largest lake of the world: The Caspian Sea. In: Mischke, S. (Eds) Large Asian Lakes in a Changing World. Springer Water. Springer, Cham. pp. 65– 107. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-42254- 7_3.
- Ly C. (2023). Exploring the structure of marine food webs: identifying the complexity. [Journal of Oceanography](https://www.longdom.org/archive/ocn-volume-11-issue-3-year-2023.html)

[and Marine Research.](https://www.longdom.org/archive/ocn-volume-11-issue-3-year-2023.html) 11: 277. DOI: 10.35248/2572-3103.23.11.277.

- Mahmoudi N., Ahmadi M., Babanezhad M., Seyfabadi J. (2016). Seasonal distribution of dominant phytoplankton in the southern Caspian Sea (Mazandaran coast) and its relationship with environmental factors. Journal of Marine Science and Technology. 16(1): 87-101. DOI: [10.22113/jmst.2015.11554.](https://doi.org/10.22113/jmst.2015.11554)
- Mamaev V. (2002). The biodiversity of the Caspian Sea. Woods Hole Group, MA, USA. URL: https://zool.kz/wp-content/ uploads/2020/05/tazr1_4.pdf.
- Mammadov E., Timirkhanov S., Shiganova T., Katunin D., Abdoli A., Shahifar R., Kim Y., Khodorevsakaya R., Annachariyeva J., Velikova V. (2016). Management of Caspian biodiversity protection and conservation. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. pp 1–34. DOI: 10.1007/698 2016 463.
- Mozafari Z., Noori R., Siadatmousavi S.M., Afzalimehr H., Azizpour J. (2022). Satellite-Based monitoring of eutrophication in the earth's largest transboundary lake. 7(5). GeoHealth,7, e2022GH000770. DOI: 10.1029/2022GH000770.
- Nasrollahzadeh Saravi H., Pourang N., Ramzanpour Z., Makhloogh A., Najafpour Sh. (2017). Monitoring on algal bloom event in the southern Caspian Sea. Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute - Caspian Sea Ecology Research Center. Project No.: 1-76-12-9152.
- Nasrollahzadeh Saravi H, Najafpour Sh, Roshan Tabari H, Tahami M, Hashemian

F, Pouring A, Yousefian N., Naderi M., Soleimani Rodi A. (2016). Hydrology, hydrobiology, and environmental pollution in the southern of the Caspian Sea. Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute – Caspian Sea Ecology Research Center. Project No.: 1-76-12-8906.

- Nasrollahzadeh Saravi H., Makhlough A., Eslami F. Leroy Suzanne AG. (2014). Features of phytoplankton community in the southern Caspian Sea, a decade after the invasion of Mnemiopsis leidyi. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences. 13 (1): 145–167. URL: [http://jifro.ir/article-](http://jifro.ir/article-1-1434-fa.html)[1-1434-fa.html](http://jifro.ir/article-1-1434-fa.html).
- Nasrollahzadeh A. (2010). Caspian Sea and its Ecological Challenges. Caspian journal Environment Sciences. 8 (1): pp. 97-104.
- Otero J., Álvarez-Salgado XA., Bode A. (2020). Phytoplankton diversity effect on ecosystem functioning in a coastal upwelling system. Frontiers in Marine Science. 7: 592255. DOI: 10.3389/ fmars.2020.592255.
- Pourafrasyabi M and Ramezanpour Z. (2014). Phytoplankton as bio-indicator of water quality in Sefid Rud River, Iran (south of Caspian Sea). Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences. 12 (1): 31- 40. https://cjes.guilan.ac.ir/article_1131. html.
- Pourgholam R., Tahami FS., Keihan Sani AR. (2013). Seasonal variability of phytoplankton in the waters of the southern Caspian Sea during 2010-2011. Journal of Animal Research (Iranian Journal of Biology). 27(3): 307-318. URL: https://animal.ijbio.ir/article 493.

html?lang=en.

- Rowshan-Tabari M., Fatemi MR., Golaghaei M, Rostamian MT.,d Khodaparast SN. (2022). A historical data on the seasonal density variation of phytoplankton, zooplankton and Mnemiopsis leidyi in the south Caspian Sea. Caspian Journal Environmental Sciences. 20 (3): 451-458. DOI: 10.22124/CJES.2022.5636.
- Sabkara J and Makaremi M. (2020). [Distribution and species diversity of](https://journals.areeo.ac.ir/article_121715.html?lang=en) [Bacillariophyta \(Diatoms\) and their](https://journals.areeo.ac.ir/article_121715.html?lang=en) [environmental significance in aquatic](https://journals.areeo.ac.ir/article_121715.html?lang=en) [life in Anzali wetland ecosystem](https://journals.areeo.ac.ir/article_121715.html?lang=en). Advanced Aquaculture Sciences Journal. 3 (3): 13-28. URL: https://aasj.areeo.ac.ir/ article_121715_9814e7042607b4adf583 757d1e83bfcc.pdf.
- Sadat Tahami F, Mazlan AG, Negarestan H, Najafpour Sh, Lotfi WWM, Najafpour GD. (2012). Phytoplankton combination in the southern part of Caspian Sea. World Applied Sciences Journal. 16 (1): 99-105. ISSN 1818-4952.
- Sadat Tahami F and Keyhan-Sani A. (2022). Overview of the phytoplankton challenge of the southern basin of the Caspian Sea. World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences. 14(1): 01-05. ISSN 2078-4589.
- Salmanov MA. (1987). The role of Microflora and phytoplankton in production process translated by Abolghasem shariati the science and industrial fishery centers in Mirza Kochagkhan, Rasht. 349 p.
- Sarwat J and Singh A. (2023). The role of phytoplanktons in the environment and in human life, a review. Basra Journal of Science. 41(2): 379-398. DOI: 10.29072/ basis.20230212.
- Taş S, Okuş E, Ünlü S, Altiok H. (2011). A study on phytoplankton following 'Volgoneft-248' oil spill on the northeastern coast of the Sea of Marmara. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. 91 (3): 715-725. DOI: 10.1017/ S0025315410000330.
- Tett P., Gowen R., Painting S., Elliott M., Forster R., Mills D., Bresnan E., Capuzzo E., Fernandes T., Foden J., Geider R., Gilpin L., Huxham M., McQuatters-Gollop A., Malcolm S., Saux Picart S., Platt T., Racault MF., Sathyendranath S., van der Molen J., Wilkinson M. (2013). Framework for understanding marine ecosystem health. Marine Ecology Progress Series. DOI: 10.3354/meps10539.
- Vostokov SV., Pautova LA, Sahling IV., Vostokova AS., Gadzhiev AA., Petherbridge G., Lobachev EN., Abtahi B., Shojaei MG. (2023). Seasonal and longterm phytoplankton dynamics in the middle caspian according to satellite data and in situ observations in the first decades of the 21st century. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering. 11 (957). DOI: 10.3390/ imse11050957.
- Vostokov S.V., Larisa A., Pautova LA., Saling IV., Vostokova A, Ustarbekova D, Lobachev EN., Abtahi B., Shojaei MG. (2022). Phytoplankton of the middle Caspian Sea: analysis of changes in the structure of the community over the past decades. The south of Russia: ecology, development. 17 (3): 112‐124. (In Russian). DOI: 10.18470/ 1992‐1098‐2022‐3‐112‐12.
- Zenkevich L.A. (1963). Biology of the seas of the USSR. Nauka, Moscow. 450 p. (in Russian).